

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	09/850,064	05/08/2001	Peter Lisec	L57-362002-pUS	9161
	466 7	590 03/10/2003			
YOUNG & THOMPSON				EXAMINER	
	745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		OOR	AFTERGUT, JEFF H	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1733	10
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 10			mx-10
· ·	Application No.	pplicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/850,064	LISEC, PETER	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE 1 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same application and the same application in the same appl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin. S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TO e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mail	ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final of the	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	•
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S .
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	•	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 8-13.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examin	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
	(Jeff H. Aftergut Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733	4

Continuation Speet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/850,064

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed changes would limit the claim so that it was only one side of the spacer tubing which was provided with the groove wherein the one side was on the inner surface of the spacer visible through the glass. Such a limitation would require further search and/or consideration especially in light of the admitted prior art where it was known to use metal spacer frames wherein the inside of the frame had an unsightly bead therein.